

This Call for Proposals is seeking technically sound proposals that not only justify the proposed PO-led investments, but also seek to support the professionalization of POs in their business ventures while addressing local supply and market failures such as low access to commercial credit sources that inhibit the development of a productive agriculture value chain and the creation of a conducive environment for further private sector investment, especially by smallholder producers and their organizations⁴.

VI. Proposal Submission and Review Process

Proposal Submission:

(2) *[If applicable]*



GAFSP Call for Proposals: Producer Organization Proposal





5.1 What negative externalities or spillovers that could result from the proposed project activities and targeting? How likely are they to occur, what impact would they have, and what mitigation measures are proposed? Include a detailed assessment under *Annex 3, Tables F and G*.



Provide comprehensive budget information for the proposed project. All figures should be in US\$ and rounded to the nearest '000.

Table A: Summary of Overall Project Funding

Funding Source	Amount	Has this funding been secured (Yes/No)?
GAFSP grant amount requested		n/a
PO co-financing ¹⁷		
Other Funding Sources (<i>SE, ODA, international NGOs, etc.</i>)		
- [Specify source]		
- [specify source]		



Review below for the list of GAFSP Tier 1 (impact) and Tier 2 (output and outcome) indicators and select the indicators that are relevant to the Proposal. The selected GAFSP Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E) indicators should be included in the Results Monitoring Matrix presented in *Table E*



Table D. GAFSP Tier 1 and Tier 2 Core Indicators

#



Note: The definitions for the Tier 2 indicators can be found on pgs. 24 – 27 of the [GAFSP M&E Plan](#).

-related language is included for indicators #1, 2, 3, and 7. In view of discussion and some concerns expressed by the GAFSP Steering Committee, it is noted that the experience of gathering such data at the SE/project level will be tracked and
 earlier

-ownership reform, although both the Technical Advisory Committee and most SE project preparation processes currently evaluate project readiness against a criterion that includes policies. There was demand from SC members to see a standalone indicator, however, that can capture a focus on land use rights.

Table E. Proposal Stage Results Monitoring Matrix

Indicators ¹⁸	Unit of measurement	Baseline ¹⁹	End-of-project target	Data sources (Data collection instruments)
Project level indicators				
Project's highest-level indicator				
Component level indicators ²⁰				
Component 1				
- Outcome Indicator 1				
- Output Indicator 1				
- Outcome Indicator 2				
- Output indicator 2				
Component 2				
- Outcome Indicator 3				



F. Describe important potential risks to _____ based on the scale, complexity, duration, and magnitude of proposed project activities and operations. Provide an assessment of the likelihood (probability) and risk rating (severity, impact) of the risks, and proposed mitigation measures. Add additional rows to the table for additional risks, if needed.

Table F: Project Risk Assessment

Risk	Likelihood (L, M, H)	Risk rating (L, M, H)	Risk description	Proposed mitigation measures
Technical design ²¹ : Risk that technical design could affect the project from reaching its objectives				
Institutional capacity for implementation ²² : Risk that there is insufficient capacity to implement the project				
[add other risks]				
[add other risks]				

For Likelihood: L (low probability), M (moderate probability), or H (high probability).

For Risk rating: L (low risk or impact), M (moderate risk or impact), or H (high risk or impact).

²¹ Indicative list of risks to assess: the technical complexity of the project; the extent to which project design is informed by analytical



List the names, titles, organizations and email addresses of the core members of the Proposal preparation team (including private consultants and Supervising Entity staff, if any, who directly contributed to completing the Proposal Template). Do not include individuals who participated in wider consultation meetings or workshops held as part of the preparation of the Proposal; their participation and influence in proposal development will have been described in 5.3.

Name	Title	Organization	Email

(Add lines as needed)